Case 5:09-cv-05956-RMW Document 121 Filed 08/19/14 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

MERCEDES MARKER,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF SAN JOSE AND SON VU,

Defendants.

Case No. C-09-05956-RMW

DRAFT JURY VERDICT FORM

1	
2	We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them
3	under the instructions of this Court as the verdict in this case:
4	I. Federal Civil Rights
5	a. First Amendment
6	Did defendant Officer Vu violate plaintiff Marker's right to be free from a violation of her
7	First Amendment rights of free speech and expression?
8	Yes No
9	
10	b. Fourth Amendment
11	Did defendant Officer Vu violate plaintiff Marker's right to be free from an unreasonable
12	use of force?
13	Yes No
14	
15	II. State Claims a. Civil Rights Claim
16	Did defendant Officer Vu violate plaintiff Marker's rights under California state law by
17	interfering or attempting to interfere, by threats, intimidation or coercion, with the exercise
18	or enjoyment by plaintiff Marker of a constitutional right?
19	Yes No
20	
21	b. False Imprisonment
22	Did defendant Officer Vu falsely imprison plaintiff Marker?
23	Yes No
24	
25	. D.44
26	c. Battery Did defendant Officer Vu commit a civil battery against plaintiff Marker?
27	Yes No
28	NO

1	III. Damages (If Applicable)
2	What do you find to be the total amount of any damages suffered by plaintiff Marker
3	caused by the conduct on which you base your finding of liability?
4	Answer: \$
5	
6	
7	You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it
8	accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The jury foreperson should sign and date the
9	Verdict Form and return it to the Security Officer.
10	
11	SIGNED this day of, 2014.
12	
13	
14	
15	Jury Foreperson
16	
17	
18	
19	